

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	COMPANY
09/432,087	11/02/1999	TAKESHI MISAWA	TOTOTALET BOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
			0879-0242P	9612
•	590 07/17/2003			
BIRCH STEV	WART KOLASCH & D	IDCILLIA		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747			EXAMINER	
			VORTMAN, ANATOLY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
Offic Action Summary	09/432,087	MISAWA, TAKESHI					
Ome Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE AND	Anatoly Vortman	2835					
- Th MAILING DATE of this communication app PridfrReply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondenc address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>RCE filed on 06/24/03</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	oversion requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.	•					
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	- · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
 Certified copies of the priority documents in 	nave been received.	·					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents to	nave been received in Application	n No.					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	documents have been received	in this National Stage					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p	priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
a) The translation of the foreign language provise	sional annication has been	(to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)							

2) 3)

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Amendments

1. By amendment filed on 06/03/03 the Applicant has amended claims 1 and 13-17. Claims 18-23 have been cancelled. Claims 1-17 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9, and 11, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US/5,880,928 to Ma.

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Ma disclosed (Fig. 1 and 2), a personal computer comprising: a base unit (1); an input part (keyboard) arranged on the base unit, the input part having an operational face (a top side of the keyboard); a display unit (2) having a display face (a front side of the display), the display unit being operatively interconnected to the base unit (1) in a state that the display face forms an angle less than 180° with the operational face when the personal computer is in use (the display unit is rotatable and may be positioned at any desirable

Art Unit: 2835

angle); and a chamber for accepting a removable external device (222 or 212) enhancing a function of the personal computer, the chamber being arranged in (within) the display unit (2).

Regarding the functional recitation that the chamber is capable or adapted of accepting a removable external device, please note that the aforementioned functional recitations have not been given patentable weight because they are narrative in form. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be expressed as a "means" for performing the specified function, as set forth in 35 USC § 112, 6th paragraph, or must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. In re Fuller, 1929 C.D. 172; 388 O.G. 279.

Also, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Ma disclosed that said display unit (2) is capable of closing the display face and the operation face when the personal computer is not in use, (Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Ma disclosed that said display unit (2) is hinged and rigidly joined to the base unit (1) in the state that the display face forms the angle less than 180° with the operational face, (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 6, Ma disclosed that the chamber opens (22) at topside of the display unit (2), (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 7, Ma disclosed that chamber opens (21) at a lateral side of the display unit (2), (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 9, Ma disclosed a keyboard, (Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 2835

Regarding claim 11, Ma disclosed a camera (222).

Regarding claim 15, Ma disclosed (Fig. 1 and 2), an apparatus for interfacing a computer with a removable external device (222 or 212), the apparatus comprising: a display unit (2) having a display face (a front side of the display) and being movably coupled to a base unit such that the display face forms an angle generally less than 180° with the base unit (the display unit is rotatable and may be positioned at any desirable angle); and a chamber having a predetermined depth, the chamber disposed in the display unit (2), the chamber having an electrical connection (connecting wires positioned at the bottom of the chambers as shown on Fig. 1) in an innermost recess thereof, the chamber configured to accept at least a portion of the removable external device (222 or 212) such that an electrical connection can be established between the computer and the removable external device (Fig. 1).

Regarding the recitation that the *chamber configured to accept at least a portion of the removable external device*, the aforementioned functional recitation has not been given patentable weight because it is narrative in form. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be expressed as a "means" for performing the specified function, as set forth in 35 USC § 112, 6th paragraph, or must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. *In re Fuller*, 1929 C.D. 172; 388 O.G. 279.

Regarding claim 16, Ma disclosed, (Fig. 1 and 2), an apparatus for interfacing a computer with a removable external device (212 or 222), the apparatus comprising: a display unit (2) having a display face (a front side of the display) and being movably coupled to a base unit such that the display face forms an angle generally less than 180° with the base unit (the display unit is

Art Unit: 2835

rotatable and may be positioned at any desirable angle); and a chamber formed inside the display unit (2), the chamber having walls capable of covering the bottom side, a left and right side, and a front and back side of the removable external device (212 or 222), the chamber having an opening disposed on the outside of the display unit (21 or 22) configured to receive the removable external device (212 or 222), (Fig. 1).

Regarding the recitation that the display unit configured to adaptably receive the removable external device, the aforementioned functional recitation has not been given patentable weight because it is narrative in form. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be expressed as a "means" for performing the specified function, as set forth in 35 USC § 112, 6th paragraph, or must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. In re Fuller, 1929 C.D. 172; 388 O.G. 279.

Regarding claim 14 the method steps recited in the claim are inherently necessitated by the device structure as it is disclosed by Ma.

4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being <u>clearly</u> anticipated by US/5786983 to Brenner et al.. (Brenner).

Regarding claims 17 (as amended) and 23, Brenner disclosed a computer structure (Fig. 1 and 7) which inherently necessitates the method steps recited in the claims, including: forming a chamber (146) inside a display unit (102) coupled to the computer, the display unit (102) having a display face (122) and being movably coupled to a base unit (114) such that the display face (122) forms an angle generally less than 180° with the base unit (114), and the chamber having

Art Unit: 2835

walls (inherently) for covering a bottom side, a right and left side, and a front and back side of the removable external device (116), wherein the chamber (146) is configured to receive the removable external device (116); and forming an opening associated with the chamber such that only the opening of the chamber is disposed on the outside of the display unit (102).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma in view of US/5,768,163 to Smith, II (Smith).

Ma disclosed all of the claim limitations as apply to claim 1, but did not disclose that said external device is a wireless communication device.

Smith disclosed (Fig. 15) an external device (82) for portable computer, said external device (82) comprising a wireless communication device (84).

Since the invention of Ma and Smith are from the same field of endeavor (portable computers), the purpose of said wireless communication device disclosed by Smith would be recognized in the invention of Ma.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the portable computer art at the time the invention was made to use the wireless communication device as taught by Smith Art Unit: 2835

instead of said external device (212 or 222) in the portable computer of Ma, in order to provide said portable computer of Ma with remote control capabilities (Smith, column 6, lines 6+).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Smith failed to disclose a cutout part and a transparent part positioned so, that when the external device inserted in the chamber, it would have been visible through said transparent part.

Response to Arguments

8. The main thrust of the Applicant's arguments is directed to the assertion that Ma ('928) reference does not teach a removable external devices because "the camera and the speakers contain wires that indicate a permanent connection to the laptop computer" (Amendment, p. 10, lines 16+). The Examiner disagrees with the aforementioned assertion. The Examiner believes that wires do not preclude the device from being removed from a main unit, firstly because even if connected by wires the device still may be considered as being removed, and secondly because wires may be disconnected.

Art Unit: 2835

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anatoly Vortman whose telephone number is 703-308-7824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, between 9:30am and 6:00 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2835

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

\$. Vale

Anatoly Vortman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835

A.V. July 15, 2003